

# An Empirical Evaluation of Learning Style and Knowledge Level Adaptation

Alshammari, Mohammad; Anane, Rachid; Hendley, Robert J

DOI:

[10.1007/978-3-319-39583-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39583-8)

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Alshammari, M, Anane, R & Hendley, RJ 2016, An Empirical Evaluation of Learning Style and Knowledge Level Adaptation. in J Stamper, A Micarelli & K Panourgia (eds), *Intelligent Tutoring Systems: 13th International Conference, ITS 2016, Zagreb, Croatia, June 7-10, 2016. Proceedings*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 9684, Springer, pp. 498-499, 13th International Conference on Intelligent Tutoring Systems, ITS 2016, Zagreb, Croatia, 7/06/16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39583-8>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

## Publisher Rights Statement:

The final publication is available at Springer via <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39583-8>

Checked 6/7/2016

## General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

## Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

# An Empirical Evaluation of Learning Style and Knowledge Level Adaptation

Mohammad Alshammari<sup>1</sup>, Rachid Anane<sup>2</sup>, Robert J. hendley<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Computer Science, University of Birmingham, UK  
{m.t.m.alshammari,r.j.hendley}@cs.bham.ac.uk

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Engineering and Computing, Coventry University, UK  
r.anane@coventry.ac.uk

**Abstract.** This paper presents an initial evaluation of different forms of adaptation based on learning style and knowledge level, which were implemented in an adaptive e-learning system. An experiment conducted in a learning context with 174 participants produced significant results in terms of learning gain. They indicate that adaptation based on both learning style and knowledge level yields significantly better learning gain than adaptation based on learning style only, and better than adaptation based on knowledge level only.

**Keywords.** Adaptivity · Learning style · Learner knowledge · Evaluation

One of the key characteristics of adaptive e-learning systems (AESs) is the provision of personalized services and the recommendation of learning material in the learning process. Although most AESs are based on learning style (LS) or on knowledge level (K) adaptation, the implementation of the adaptive process based on their combination presents a significant challenge. The lack of empirical research on LS and K adaptation is also a key issue in the deployment of AESs [1].

This paper is part of a broader investigation into adaptation based on LS and K in e-learning systems, and their empirical evaluation. An AES called AdaptLearn was designed and implemented to provide adaptation based on LS only, K only or on their combination [2, 3]. Adaptation is achieved through the manipulation of links to learning material on Computer Security, the application domain of the system. This involves the inclusion and generation of links to relevant material in a customized order, and the hiding or removal of links deemed unsuitable for the current level of the learner. It also provides adaptive guidance and offers recommendations and feedback to learners as they progress through their learning tasks.

An experimental evaluation of the impact of adaptation was carried out in terms of learning gain. This involved 174 participants in an academic learning environment. A pre-test and a post-test were used to measure the learning gain; each test contains 22 multiple-choice questions with five options. Learning gain was computed as follows:

Learning Gain = the score of the post-test – the score of the pre-test

Three independent variables/groups were established in the experiment. Group 1 involved the participants who interacted with a version of AdaptLearn which provides adaptation based on LS only. Group 2 involved the participants who interacted with a version which provides adaptation based on K only. Group 3 involved the participants who interacted with a version which provides adaptation based on the combination of LS and K. The experiment involved a number of stages: 1) access to AdaptLearn through an Internet browser and completion of the demographic data form and of the Index of Learning Style questionnaire [4]; 2) random assignment by the system of each participant to one of the experimental groups; 3) completion of a pre-test by each participant; 4) personalized study by the groups of the learning material on Computer Security; 5) completion of a post-test by each participant.

**Table 1** presents the results of the learning gain variable for the experimental groups; they indicate that Group 3 had the highest mean value followed by Group 2 and then Group 1. According to the results, adaptation based on both LS and K in AdaptLearn yields significantly better learning gain than adaptation based on K only and better than LS only.

**Table 1.** One-way ANOVA results of learning gain relating to the experimental groups.

|                | N  | Mean  | SD    | F(2,171) | Sig.     |
|----------------|----|-------|-------|----------|----------|
| <b>Group 1</b> | 58 | 53.50 | 18.92 | 22.89    | < 0.0005 |
| <b>Group 2</b> | 58 | 64.74 | 18.94 |          |          |
| <b>Group 3</b> | 58 | 75.48 | 14.18 |          |          |

This experiment contributes to current research on adaptation by providing more evidence on learning gain when both the LS and K characteristics are integrated into an AES. Future research will involve a long-term evaluation with more participants and a larger set of learning resources. Different variables such as learner satisfaction, motivation, emotion and perceived usability of the system can be taken into account in future experiments.

## References

1. Akbulut, Y., Cardak, C.S.: Adaptive educational hypermedia accommodating learning styles: A content analysis of publications from 2000 to 2011. *Computers & Education*. 58, 835–842 (2012).
2. Alshammari, M., Anane, R., Hendley, R.: Design and Usability Evaluation of Adaptive e-learning Systems Based on Learner Knowledge and Learning Style. In: *Human-Computer Interaction--INTERACT 2015*. pp. 584–591. Springer (2015).
3. Alshammari, M., Anane, R., Hendley, R.: An E-Learning Investigation into Learning Style Adaptivity. In: *The 48th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS-48)*. pp. 11–20 (2015).
4. Felder, R.M., Spurlin, J.: Applications, reliability and validity of the index of learning styles. *International Journal of Engineering Education*. 21, 103–112 (2005).